United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,562	11/01/2001	Mark van Soestbergen	SOE-100XC1	3802
_, _,	7590 02/28/2007 TER SANKS MORA & N	· EXAMINER		
390 NORTH ORANGE AVENUE SUITE 2500 ORLANDO, FL 32801			BASIT, ABDUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3694	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/002,562	SOESTBERGEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Abdul Basit	3694			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
	Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE and the sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 No</u>	ovember 2001.				
•	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		-			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
A44						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/25/2002. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sowinski (US Pat. No. 6,601,033).

Regarding claim 1:

Sowinski teaches a method for:

- (a) Receiving information to identify a customer account. (see column 9, lines 36-51).
 - (b) Receiving input to identify type of carbon sink. (see column 9, lines 36-51).
 - (c) Receiving input data used to calculate emission reduction provided by the carbon sink. (see column 9, lines 36-51).
 - (d) Calculating an emission reduction credit (ERC) value representative of the renewable energy and emission reduction provided by the carbon sink. (see column 9, lines 36-51).
- (e) Crediting a percentage of the ERC value to the customer account. (see column 9, lines 36-51).

Art Unit: 3694

Regarding claim 2:

Sowinski teaches a method for:

- (i) solar thermal.
- (ii) photovoltaic.
- (iii) micro-hydro.
- (iv) wind turbine, and
- (v) carbon sequestration.

(For all, see column 9, lines 36-51).

Regarding claim 6:

Sowinski further teaches that step (c) of receiving input data used to calculate emission reduction provided by the carbon sink comprises receiving specific parameters for the type of sink selected. (For all, see column 9, lines 36-51).

Regarding claim 7:

Sowinski teaches the step of constructing a virtual box representing the yearly emissions reductions of the carbon sink to ensure that ERCs in a given time period and given place are assigned only once, the box assigned to geographical coordinates of the sink, wherein the box expresses the amount of GHGs reduced by gram and in cubic centimeters of the carbon sink. (see column 2, lines 1-11).

Regarding claim 8:

Sowinksi teaches the step of assigning identification tags to the ERC values, the tags comprising one or more of location of sink, owner of sink, certifier of sink, and digital record of sink. (For all, see column 9, lines 36-51; column 10, lines 11-15).

Art Unit: 3694

Regarding claim 9:

Sowinski further teaches the step of donating a percentage of the ERC value credited to the customer account to a separate entity.

Regarding claim 10:

Sowinski further teaches the step of exchanging ERC values in the customer account for monetary assets. (see column 10, lines 32-46).

Regarding claim 11:

Sowinski further teaches the step of exchanging comprises:

- (a) storing ERC values tagged with an identification unique to the carbon sink in a pool pending sale; (see column 9, lines 55-56) and
- (b) transmitting monetary assets to the customer account upon purchase of ERC value from pool. (see column 10, lines 32-46).

Regarding claim 12:

Sowinski teaches a method that includes:

- (a) Receiving information to identify customer account. (see column 9, lines 36-51).
- (b) Receiving input to identify type of carbon source. (see column 9, lines 36-51).
- (c) Receiving input data used to calculate energy consumption and emissions output of the carbon source. (see column 9, lines 36-51).
- (d) Calculating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions value produced by the carbon source; (see column 9, lines 36-51) and
- (e) Debiting the GHG value from the customer account. (see column 9, lines 36-51).

Regarding claim 13:

Art Unit: 3694

Sowinski teaches a method of selecting type of carbon source from one of the following:

- (i) Vehicles;
- (ii) Structures;
- (iii) Travel;
- (iv) Manufacture of products; and
- (v) Providing services.

(For all, see column 9, lines 36-51).

Regarding claim 14:

Sowinski teaches the step (c) of receiving input data used to calculate energy consumption and emissions output of the carbon source comprises receiving specific parameters for the type of source selected. (see column 9, lines 36-51).

Regarding claim 15:

Sowinski further teaches the step of assigning a monetary liability to the GHG value. (see column 10, lines 32-46).

Regarding claim 16:

Sowinksi further teaches:

- (i) accepting payment from the customer;
- (ii) using the payment to purchase ERC values associated with a carbon sink, said ERC values representing an asset in an account;
- (iii) crediting the ERC values as assets against the monetary liability assigned to the GHG value, whereby the GHG value in the customer account is reduced accordingly. (For all, see column 10, lines 32-46).

Art Unit: 3694

Regarding claim 17:

Sowinski further teaches the steps of tagging the ERC values purchased with the identification of the carbon sink associated therewith and associating the carbon sink identification with the carbon source of the customer. (see column 9, lines 36-51; column 10, lines 11-15).

Regarding claim 18:

Sowinski teaches a method:

- (a) Registering for a seller a carbon sink comprising renewable energy and emission reduction systems wherein an emission reduction credit (ERC) value representative of the renewable energy and emission reduction provided by the carbon sink is assigned to the carbon sink. (see column 9, lines 36-51).
- (b) Assigning a unique identification to the emission reduction credit (ERC) value of the seller. (see column 9, lines 36-51).
- (c) Making the ERC value for the carbon sink available for purchase. (see column 10, lines 23-46).
- (d) Receiving a purchase request from a purchaser for the ERC value. (see column 10, lines 32-46).
- (e) Matching the unique identification to an identification of the purchaser. (see column 9, lines 55-56).
- (f) Crediting the ERC value to an account of the purchaser as an asset. (see column 10, lines 32-46).

Regarding claim 19:

Art Unit: 3694

Sowinksi further teaches:

(i) balancing the liabilities of the GHG values in the account with the assets of the ERC

value purchased; and

(ii) associating the unique identification of the ERC value from the carbon sink to a

unique pool.

(For all, see column 16 lines 21-25).

Regarding claim 20:

Sowinksi further teaches that step (c) of making the ERC value for the carbon sink

available for purchase comprises pooling the ERC value in a pool with other ERC

values from a plurality of sellers having ERC values associated with their carbon sinks.

(see column 10 generally).

Regarding claim 21:

Sowinksi further teaches that prior to step (d) of receiving a purchase request from a

purchaser for the ERC value, further comprising the steps of

(i) Searching the pool for an ERC value associated with a specific carbon sink

substantially matching the search criteria; and

(ii) Displaying the results of the search.

(see column 9 generally).

Regarding claim 22:

Sowinski further teaches the step of receiving a fee from the purchaser in the form of a

percentage of the ERC value prior to crediting the ERC value to an account of the

purchaser. (see column 10, lines 32-46).

Art Unit: 3694

Regarding claim 23:

Sowinski further teaches receiving information regarding boundaries, ownership, land use management, and community impact for biological and geological carbon sinks.

(see column 2, lines 1-11).

Regarding 24:

Sowinski further teaches that if a vehicle is selected as type of carbon source, further comprising the steps of:

- (i) Receiving input data for at least one of make, model, variants, year, VIN#, time period, annual mileage;
- (ii) Calculating GHG value for the vehicle in accordance with input data received. (For all, see column 9, lines 36-51; column 10, lines 11-15).

Regarding claim 25:

Sowinski further teaches that if a structure is selected as type of carbon source, further comprising the steps of:

- (i) Receiving input data for at least one of power consumption, propane consumption, gasoline consumption;
- (ii) Calculating GHG value for the structure in accordance with input data received. (For all, see column 9, lines 36-51; column 10, lines 11-15).

Regarding claim 26:

Sowinski further teaches that if a structure is a company, further comprising the step of addition to the GHG value emissions produced by vehicles owned by the company.

Regarding claim 27:

Art Unit: 3694

Sowinski further teaches that if a travel is selected as type of carbon source, further comprising the steps of:

- (i) receiving input data for at least one of arrival and departure points, method of travel, type of transportation, travel dates;
- (ii) calculating GHG value for the travel in accordance with input data received.

(For all, see column 9, lines 36-51; column 10, lines 11-15).

Regarding claim 28:

Sowinski further teaches that if a manufacture of product is selected as type of carbon source, further comprising the steps of:

- (i) receiving input data representative of emissions produced during the manufacture and distribution of a product;
- (ii) calculating GHG value for the manufacture of the product in accordance with input data received.

(For all, see column 9, lines 36-51; column 10, lines 11-15).

Regarding claim 29:

Sowinksi further teaches the steps of:

- (iii) purchasing an amount of ERC value sufficient to offset the GHG value from a seller who has registered a carbon sink comprising renewable energy and emission reduction systems, wherein an emission reduction credit (ERC) value is representative of the renewable energy and emission reduction provided by the carbon sink;
- (iv) certifying the product as GHG neutral as a result of the offset.

(see column 11, lines 14-25).

Art Unit: 3694

Regarding claim 31:

Sowinski further teaches that if providing services is selected as type of carbon source,

further comprising the steps of:

(i) receiving input data representative of emissions produced during the provision of a service;

(ii) calculating GHG value for the provision of the service in accordance with input data received.

(For all, see column 9, lines 36-51; column 10, lines 11-15).

Regarding claim 32:

Sowinski further teaches the steps of the steps of:

(iii) purchasing an amount of ERC value sufficient to offset the GHG value from a seller who has registered a carbon sink comprising renewable energy and emission reduction systems, wherein an emission reduction credit (ERC) value is representative of the renewable energy and emission reduction provided by the carbon sink;

(iv) certifying the service as GHG neutral as a result of the offset.

(see column 11, lines 14-25).

Regarding claim 33:

Sowinski teaches a computer system that includes an:

(a) Input device for receiving information to identify a customer account; receiving input to identify type of carbon sink; and receiving input data used to calculate emission reduction provided by the carbon sink. (see column 9, lines 36-51).

Art Unit: 3694

(b) Processor for calculating an emission reduction credit (ERC) value representative of the renewable energy and emission reduction provided by the carbon sink; (see column 9, lines 36-51), and

(c) Crediting a percentage of the ERC value to the customer account associated with the carbon sink; and display for displaying customer accounts. (see column 9, lines 36-51).

Regarding claim 34:

Sowinski further teaches a system for registration of a carbon source, wherein a carbon source represents a liability in an account, wherein said input device further receives input to identify type of carbon source; and receives input data used to calculate energy consumption and emissions output of the carbon source; and wherein said processor calculates a greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions value produced by the carbon source; and debits the GHG value from a specific customer account associated with the carbon source. (see column 9, lines 36-51).

Regarding claim 35:

Sowinski teaches a system that will:

- (a) Register for a seller a carbon sink comprising renewable energy and emission reduction systems wherein an emission reduction credit (ERC) value representative of the renewable energy and emission reduction provided by the carbon sink is assigned to the carbon sink. (see column 9, lines 36-51).
- (b) Assigns a unique identification to the emission reduction credit (ERC) value of the seller. (see column 9, lines 36-51).

Art Unit: 3694

(c) Makes the ERC value for the carbon sink available for purchase. (see column 10,

lines 32-46).

(d) Receives a purchase request from a purchaser for the ERC value. (see column 9,

lines 55-56).

(e) Matches the unique identification to an identification of the purchaser; (see column

9, lines 55-56), and

(f) Credits the ERC value to an account of the purchaser as an asset. (see column 10,

lines 32-46).

Regarding claim 36:

Sowinski further teaches a computer readable media containing program instructions for

displaying data on a display device of a computer system, the data being obtained from

tables in a database associated with the computer system, the computer readable

media comprising computer program code for implementing the steps of claim 1.(see

column 1, lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 37:

Sowinski further teaches a computer readable media containing program instructions for

displaying data on a display device of a computer system, the data being obtained from

tables in a database associated with the computer system, the computer readable

media comprising computer program code for implementing the steps of claim 12.

(see column 1, lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 38:

Art Unit: 3694

Sowinski teaches a computer readable media containing program instructions for displaying data on a display device of a computer system, the data being obtained from tables in a database associated with the computer system, the computer readable media comprising computer program code for implementing the steps of claim 18. (see column 1, lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 39:

Sowinski teaches a computerized storage and retrieval system for exchanging emission reduction credit (ERC) values associated with a carbon sink, representing an asset in an account, for GHG values associated with a carbon source, representing a liability in an account, comprising a data storage means for storing data in a relational database wherein the database comprises tables, each table having a domain of at least one attribute in common with at least one other table, the tables comprising of at least one table for storing all ERC values available for purchase. (see column 15, lines 16-65).

Regarding claim 40:

Sowinski teaches:

- (a) At least one table for storing amount of carbon in a transaction, the source device, the sink, and the entities involved;
- (b) At least one table for recording results of auditing for a GHG activity used for statistical information:
- (c) At least one table for storing all information about the GreenHouse Gases (GHG) and other emissions the system tracks and the current price for bank owned credits;

Page 14

Application/Control Number: 10/002,562

Art Unit: 3694

(d) At least one table for storing percentage breakdown of ERCs among various participants; and

(e) At least one table for storing details of application for carbon sequestration/sink accreditation, auditing and ERC generation.

(For all see column 2, lines 1-11).

Regarding claim 41:

Sowinski teaches:

(a) Recording GHG activity including data indicative of location, address, GPS, elevation, GHG parameters and time frame of event;

- (b) Creating a Volumetric GPS Timestamp (VGT) as a virtual box representing the emission or reduction volume of a GHG;
- (c) Associating the VGT box with a discreetly defined space on planet earth, using the GPS and elevation coordinates anchoring the bottom center of the VGT box, wherein the VGT box serves as a marker, aiding discovery of emission and reduction information introduced that has the same time frame, location, or volume; and
- (d) Projecting and transposing 'empty' boxes on top of full boxes to manage the transfer of GHG reductions to offset emissions.

(For all see column 2, lines 1-11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3694

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Sowinski* in view of *Flek* (US Pat. No. 5,481,904).

Regarding claim 3:

Flek, not Sowinski, teaches the step of receiving data representative of the location of the carbon sink and indexing the information using a Global Positioning System (GPS). (see column 5, lines 40-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify *Sowinski* with *Flek*. Motivation to modify exists because a GPS system allows for a better confirmation of various characteristics of the pollutant.

5. Claims 4-5, 30, and 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over *Sowinski*.

Regarding claim 4:

The examiner takes Official Notice that an accreditation level can determine a registration fee and value of ERC that will be credited to the customer account. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to determine registration fee based on accreditation level, because this allow for a better determination of the ERC value.

Regarding claim 5:

Sowinski teaches that the percentage of ERC value not credited to the customer account is divided according to accreditation level and credited to a plurality of funds

Art Unit: 3694

comprising an insurance fund, a yearly administrative fund, certifier's fund, and a discount fund. (see column 11, lines 14-25).

Regarding claim 30:

Official notice is taken that the product is gasoline. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a gasoline product, because gasoline creates a significant amount of pollution.

Regarding claim 42:

Official notice teaches comparing emissions impact using temperature as a factor comprising:

- (a) Charting the volume of one ton CO2 as it becomes lager over time as a result of increasing temperature, which expands the volume of any given gas;
- (b) Using the mean temperature as the baseline by averaging the land, air and sea surface temperatures of planet earth for a period of years;
- (c) Calculating the increase in temperature from that baseline which expands the CO2 VGT box, and
- (d) Calculating the relative increase in size used to compare the value of current action versus future action while keeping pressure constant at 760 tort in the equation V O: T. It would have been obvious to use temperature as a factor to determine emission impact, because temperature is a key element to determining amount of gas volume.

Regarding claim 43:

Official notice further calculates the proportion clean and dirty air generated as a result of a GHG activity by

Art Unit: 3694

(a) Establishing VGT by combining location, elevation, time-fame, GHG parameters and time frame of GHG activity;

- (b) Using resulting VGT as the base to calculate the VGT of Oxygen and other molecules consumed or freed up by GHG activity;
- (c) Expressing the amount of "clean air" lost or gained from the GHG activity.

 It would have been obvious to use temperature as a factor to determine the proportion of clean and dirty air as a result of GHG activity, since this comparison shows an efficient method of showing the level of GHG activity.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdul Basit whose telephone number is 571 272-7246.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571 272 6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3694

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

aqb

JAMES P. TREETERS

TECHNOLO